

Committee: Healthier Communities and Older People Overview and Scrutiny Committee

Date: 17th March 2016

Agenda item:

Wards: ALL

Subject: Epsom and St Helier University NHS Foundation Trust - verbal update.

Lead officer: Daniel Elkeles, Chief Executive Epsom and St Helier University Hospitals NHS Trust

Lead member: Councillor Peter McCabe, Chair of the Healthier Communities and Older People overview and scrutiny panel.

Contact officer: Stella Akintan, stella.akintan@merton.gov.uk; 020 8545 3390

Recommendations:

- A. That the Panel consider and comment on the Epsom and St Helier Estates Strategy
-

1 PURPOSE OF REPORT AND EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- 1.1. The Chief Executive of Epsom and St Helier will give a verbal update on their Estates Strategy.

2 DETAILS

- 2.1. Mr Daniel Elkeles, Chief Executive attended the Panel in July 2015. The Panel were informed that the current Epsom and St Helier buildings are not fit for purpose therefore the Trust are planning to consult with the community and stakeholders to develop proposals for the future of the Estate.
- 2.2. Mr Elkeles will provide the Panel with an update and outline the next steps in the process.
- 2.3.

3 ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

The Healthier Communities and Older People Overview and Scrutiny Panel can select topics for scrutiny review and for other scrutiny work as it sees fit, taking into account views and suggestions from officers, partner organisations and the public.

Cabinet is constitutionally required to receive, consider and respond to scrutiny recommendations within two months of receiving them at a meeting.

- 3.1. Cabinet is not, however, required to agree and implement recommendations from Overview and Scrutiny. Cabinet could agree to implement some, or none, of the recommendations made in the scrutiny review final report.

4 CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN OR PROPOSED

4.1. The Panel will be consulted at the meeting

5 TIMETABLE

5.1. The Panel will consider important items as they arise as part of their work programme for 2014/15

6 FINANCIAL, RESOURCE AND PROPERTY IMPLICATIONS

6.1. None relating to this covering report

7 LEGAL AND STATUTORY IMPLICATIONS

7.1. None relating to this covering report. Scrutiny work involves consideration of the legal and statutory implications of the topic being scrutinised.

8 HUMAN RIGHTS, EQUALITIES AND COMMUNITY COHESION IMPLICATIONS

8.1. It is a fundamental aim of the scrutiny process to ensure that there is full and equal access to the democratic process through public involvement and engaging with local partners in scrutiny reviews. Furthermore, the outcomes of reviews are intended to benefit all sections of the local community.

9 CRIME AND DISORDER IMPLICATIONS

9.1. None relating to this covering report. Scrutiny work involves consideration of the crime and disorder implications of the topic being scrutinised.

10 RISK MANAGEMENT AND HEALTH AND SAFETY IMPLICATIONS

10.1. None relating to this covering report

11 APPENDICES – THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENTS ARE TO BE PUBLISHED WITH THIS REPORT AND FORM PART OF THE REPORT

-

12 BACKGROUND PAPERS

12.1.